

**PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION
RED CROSS BUILDING, NEAR ROSE GARDEN,
SECTOR 16, CHANDIGARH.**

Ph: 0172-2864116, Email: - psic26@punjabmail.gov.in

Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com, Cisco Webex Code:15857-23975

Helpline No.0172-2864100(From 10.00 AM to 04.00 PM on working days)



Shri Trilochan Singh
S/o Late Shri Gurbachan Singh
R/o 848-A, MIG Flats,
Jamalpur Colony,
Ludhiana.

.....Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer
O/o The Secretary,
Regional Transport Authority,
Ferozepur.

First Appellate Authority
O/o Secretary, Regional
Transport Authority,
Ferozepur.

....Respondents

AC No.2443 of 2020

Present: (i) **Shri Tejinder Singh on behalf of the appellant.**
(ii) **Shri Gulshan Kumar, Section Officer/PIO, O/o R.T.A. Ferozepur.**

ORDER

(Heard in person/through Cisco Webex)

1. This order may be read with reference to the order dated 31.08.2021 passed by this Bench on the previous hearing.
2. The case has been heard today in the Commission. Shri Tejinder Singh comes present to attend the hearing through cisco webex on behalf of the appellant.
3. Shri Gulshan Kumar, Section Officer/PIO attends the hearing in person before the Bench and states that the information has been supplied to the appellant vide letter dated 20.01.2020 and again on 26.10.2020 by registered post. He further states that the appellant was also called for inspection of records vide letter dated 26.11.2020 but he did not turn up.
4. On the asking of the Bench, the representative of the appellant Shri Tejinder Singh states that the information supplied is partial and irrelevant and the appellant is not satisfied with the information supplied.
5. On perusal of the case file, the Bench observes that the PIO has not filed reply to the show cause notice. The PIO is directed to provide information as available in the official records, giving point-wise reply to the RTI application of the appellant before the next hearing. He is also directed to file reply to the show cause notice.



AC No.2443 of 2020

6. After supplying information to the appellant, the PIO is directed to file an affidavit on non-judicial stamp paper duly signed by the PIO and attested by the Notary Public to the effect that the information as available in the official records in AC No.2443 of 2020 has been supplied to the appellant. It be further stated that nothing has been concealed therein and the statement made is true and correct. Original affidavit be sent to the appellant and photocopy of the same be sent to the Commission for record.

7. With the aforesaid directions, the matter is adjourned. **To come up for hearing on 22.02.2022 at 11.30 AM before the Bench in Commission's Office at Chandigarh.** Copies of the order be sent to the concerned parties.

**Chandigarh
29.11.2021**

**Sd/-
(Lt Gen Ajae Kumar Sharma (Retd))
State Information Commissioner, Punjab**

**PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION
RED CROSS BUILDING, NEAR ROSE GARDEN,
SECTOR 16, CHANDIGARH.**

Ph: 0172-2864116, Email: - psic26@punjabmail.gov.in

Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com, Cisco Webex Code:15857-23975

Helpline No.0172-2864100(10.00 AM to 04.00 PM on working days)



Shri Trilochan Singh
S/o Late Shri Gurbachan Singh
R/o 848-A, MIG Flats,
Jamalpur Colony,
Ludhiana.

.....Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer

O/o Secretary, Regional
Transport Authority,
Sangrur.

First Appellate Authority

O/o Secretary, Regional
Transport Authority,
Sangrur.

....Respondents

AC No.2434 of 2020

Present: (i) **Shri Tejinder Singh, on behalf of the Appellant.**
(ii) **Shri Ravinder Singh Gill, Secretary, R.T.A., Sangrur/ PIO.**
along with Shri Avtar Singh, Clerk.

ORDER:

(Heard in person/through Cisco Webex)

1. This order may be read with reference to the order dated 31.08.2021 passed by this Bench on the previous hearing.
2. The case was scheduled to be heard today in Commission's office. Shri Tejinder Singh, comes present to attend the hearing on behalf of the appellant.
3. Shri Ravinder Singh Gill, Secretary, R.T.A. Sangrur/PIO comes present to attend the hearing in person before the Bench along with Shri Avtar Singh, Clerk. He states that he has recently joined and earlier Shri Karanvir Singh Chhina, Secretary, RTA, Sangrur has been attending the hearing. He produces letter dated 29.11.2021 stating therein that on 08.02.2021 when penalty was imposed by Hon'ble Commission, the then PIO Shri Karanvir Singh Chhina was present in the Hon'ble High Court to attend a hearing in CWP-PIL No.176 of 2020 due to which he could not attend the hearing and as such, requests for waiver of the penalty. He further states that the information has been supplied to the appellant vide letter dated 19.04.2021, sent by registered post on 20.04.2021.
4. The Bench clarifies to the PIO that the penalty amount of Rs. 5000/- was imposed upon PIO on 08.02.2021 and it has to be deposited by the officer who was the designated PIO on the date of imposition of penalty i.e. on 08.02.2021 and the request of the PIO for the waiver of penalty is turned down.

5. On the asking of the Bench, the representative of the appellant states that the information supplied is unsatisfactory as point-wise reply has not been given on the plea that the information sought is voluminous.

6. The PIO is again directed to supply complete point-wise information to the appellant before the next date of hearing as also to file reply to the show cause notice and to produce the evidence for having deposited the amount of penalty imposed on the PIO.

7. After supplying information to the appellant, the PIO is directed to file an affidavit on non-judicial stamp paper duly signed by the PIO and attested by the Notary Public to the effect that the information as available in the official records in AC No.2434 of 2020 has been supplied to the appellant. It be further stated that nothing has been concealed therein and the statement made is true and correct. Original affidavit be sent to the appellant and photocopy of the same be sent to the Commission for record.

8. With the aforesaid directions, the matter is adjourned. **To come up for hearing on 22.02.2022 at 11.30 AM before the Bench in Commission's Office at Chandigarh.** Copies of the order be sent to the concerned parties.

**Chandigarh
29.11.2021**

**Sd/-
(Lt Gen Ajae Kumar Sharma (Retd))
State Information Commissioner, Punjab**

**PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION
RED CROSS BUILDING, NEAR ROSE GARDEN,
SECTOR 16, CHANDIGARH.**

Ph: 0172-2864116, Email: - psic26@punjabmail.gov.in

Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com, Cisco Webex Code:15857-23975

Helpline No.0172-2864100(10.00 AM to 04.00 PM on working days)



Shri Trilochan Singh
S/o Late Shri Gurbachan Singh
R/o 848-A, MIG Flats,
Jamalpur Colony,
Ludhiana.

.....Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer

O/o Secretary, Regional
Transport Authority,
Sangrur.

First Appellate Authority

O/o Secretary, Regional
Transport Authority,
Sangrur.

....Respondents

AC No.2437 of 2020

Present: (i) **Shri Tejinder Singh, on behalf of the Appellant.**
(ii) **Shri Ravinder Singh Gill, Secretary, R.T.A., Sangrur/ PIO.**
along with Shri Avtar Singh, Clerk.

ORDER:

(Heard in person/through Cisco Webex)

1. This order may be read with reference to the order dated 31.08.2021 passed by this Bench on the previous hearing.
2. The case was scheduled to be heard today in Commission's office. Shri Tejinder Singh, comes present to attend the hearing on behalf of the appellant.
3. Shri Ravinder Singh Gill, Secretary, R.T.A. Sangrur/PIO comes present to attend the hearing in person before the Bench along with Shri Avtar Singh, Clerk. He states that he has recently joined and earlier Shri Karanvir Singh Chhina, Secretary, RTA, Sangrur has been attending the hearing. He produces letter dated 29.11.2021 stating therein that on 08.02.2021 when penalty was imposed by Hon'ble Commission, the then PIO Shri Karanvir Singh Chhina was present in the Hon'ble High Court to attend a hearing in CWP-PIL No.176 of 2020 due to which he could not attend the hearing and as such, requests for waiver of the penalty. He further states that the information has been supplied to the appellant vide letter dated 19.04.2021, sent by registered post on 20.04.2021.
4. The Bench clarifies to the PIO that the penalty amount of Rs. 5000/- was imposed upon PIO on 08.02.2021 and it has to be deposited by the officer who was the designated PIO on the date of imposition of penalty i.e. on 08.02.2021 and the request of the PIO for the waiver of penalty is turned down.

5. On the asking of the Bench, the representative of the appellant states that the information supplied is unsatisfactory as point-wise reply has not been given on the plea that the information sought is voluminous.

6. The PIO is again directed to supply complete point-wise information to the appellant before the next date of hearing as also to file reply to the show cause notice and to produce the evidence for having deposited the amount of penalty imposed on the PIO.

7. After supplying information to the appellant, the PIO is directed to file an affidavit on non-judicial stamp paper duly signed by the PIO and attested by the Notary Public to the effect that the information as available in the official records in AC No.2437 of 2020 has been supplied to the appellant. It be further stated that nothing has been concealed therein and the statement made is true and correct. Original affidavit be sent to the appellant and photocopy of the same be sent to the Commission for record.

8. With the aforesaid directions, the matter is adjourned. **To come up for hearing on 22.02.2022 at 11.30 AM before the Bench in Commission's Office at Chandigarh.** Copies of the order be sent to the concerned parties.

**Chandigarh
29.11.2021**

**Sd/-
(Lt Gen Ajae Kumar Sharma (Retd))
State Information Commissioner, Punjab**

**PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION
RED CROSS BUILDING, NEAR ROSE GARDEN,
SECTOR 16, CHANDIGARH.**

Ph: 0172-2864116, Email: - psic26@punjabmail.gov.in

Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com, Cisco Webex Code:15857-23975

Helpline No.0172-2864100(10.00 AM to 04.00 PM on working days)



Shri Trilochan Singh
S/o Late Shri Gurbachan Singh
R/o 848-A, MIG Flats,
Jamalpur Colony,
Ludhiana.

.....Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer

O/o Secretary, Regional
Transport Authority,
Sangrur.

First Appellate Authority

O/o Secretary, Regional
Transport Authority,
Sangrur.

....Respondents

AC No.2440 of 2020

Present: (i) **Shri Tejinder Singh, on behalf of the Appellant.**
(ii) **Shri Ravinder Singh Gill, Secretary, R.T.A., Sangrur/ PIO.**
along with Shri Avtar Singh, Clerk.

ORDER:

(Heard in person/through Cisco Webex)

1. This order may be read with reference to the order dated 31.08.2021 passed by this Bench on the previous hearing.
2. The case was scheduled to be heard today in Commission's office. Shri Tejinder Singh, comes present to attend the hearing on behalf of the appellant.
3. Shri Ravinder Singh Gill, Secretary, R.T.A. Sangrur/PIO comes present to attend the hearing in person before the Bench along with Shri Avtar Singh, Clerk. He states that he has recently joined and earlier Shri Karanvir Singh Chhina, Secretary, RTA, Sangrur has been attending the hearing. He produces letter dated 29.11.2021 stating therein that on 08.02.2021 when penalty was imposed by Hon'ble Commission, the then PIO Shri Karanvir Singh Chhina was present in the Hon'ble High Court to attend a hearing in CWP-PIL No.176 of 2020 due to which he could not attend the hearing and as such, requests for waiver of the penalty. He further states that the information has been supplied to the appellant vide letter dated 19.04.2021, sent by registered post on 20.04.2021.
4. The Bench clarifies to the PIO that the penalty amount of Rs. 5000/- was imposed upon PIO on 08.02.2021 and it has to be deposited by the officer who was the designated PIO on the date of imposition of penalty i.e. on 08.02.2021 and the request of the PIO for the waiver of penalty is turned down.

5. On the asking of the Bench, the representative of the appellant states that the information supplied is unsatisfactory as point-wise reply has not been given on the plea that the information sought is voluminous.

6. The PIO is again directed to supply complete point-wise information to the appellant before the next date of hearing as also to file reply to the show cause notice and to produce the evidence for having deposited the amount of penalty imposed on the PIO.

7. After supplying information to the appellant, the PIO is directed to file an affidavit on non-judicial stamp paper duly signed by the PIO and attested by the Notary Public to the effect that the information as available in the official records in AC No.2440 of 2020 has been supplied to the appellant. It be further stated that nothing has been concealed therein and the statement made is true and correct. Original affidavit be sent to the appellant and photocopy of the same be sent to the Commission for record.

8. With the aforesaid directions, the matter is adjourned. **To come up for hearing on 22.02.2022 at 11.30 AM before the Bench in Commission's Office at Chandigarh.** Copies of the order be sent to the concerned parties.

**Chandigarh
29.11.2021**

**Sd/-
(Lt Gen Ajae Kumar Sharma (Retd))
State Information Commissioner, Punjab**

**PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION
RED CROSS BUILDING, NEAR ROSE GARDEN,
SECTOR 16, CHANDIGARH.**

**Ph: 0172-2864116, Email: - psic26@punjabmail.gov.in
Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com, Cisco Webex Code:15857-23975
Helpline NO.0172-2864100 (10.00 AM to 04.00 PM on working days)**



Shri Prem Kumar Rattan,
H.No.78/8, Park Road,
Navi Mandi, Dhuri,
District Sangrur

.....Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer

O/o Dy. Chief Engineer,
Punjab State Power Corporation Ltd.
Distribution Circle, Barnala.

First Appellate Authority-cum-

O/o Dy. Chief Engineer(Rural),
Punjab State Power Corporation Ltd.
Barnala.

...Respondents

AC No.2728/2021

Present: (i) **Shri Prem Kumar Rattan, Appellant (Through Cisco Webex)**
(ii) **Shri Satish Bansal, Addl. S.E., PSPCL, Bhadaur, on behalf of the PIO.**

ORDER:

(Heard through Cisco Webex)

1. This order may be read with reference to the order dated 31.08.2021 passed by this Bench on the previous date of hearing.
2. The case has been heard today in Commission's office at Chandigarh. Shri Prem Kumar Rattan, appellant, however, attends the hearing through Cisco Webex. He states that no information has been supplied to him as yet.
3. Shri Satish Bansal, Addl. S.E., PSPCL, Bhadaur, District Barnala also comes present to attend the hearing through cisco webex. He states that the information comprises 20 pages for which the appellant was asked vide letter dated 25.03.2021 by APIO-cum-Addl.S.E., PSPCL Distribution Sub Urban Division, Barnala to deposit Rs.40/- which have not been deposited by the appellant. He further states that the appellant was also intimated by e.mail in this regard. He also refers to letter dated 01.10.2021 vide which reply to the show cause notice has been given.
4. On the asking of the Bench, the appellant denies receipt of any intimation in this regard. Post deliberations, the Bench directs the PIO, S.E. Distribution Circle, Barnala to contact the appellant on phone asking him to deposit the amount of Rs.40/- demanded for the supply of information and thereafter,

Cont..P-2



-2-

AC No.2728/2021

supply information to the appellant before the next hearing. The show cause notice is hereby dropped in view of reply dated 01.10.2021. The PIO is further directed to come present in person on the next hearing.

5. With the aforesaid directions, the matter is adjourned. **To come up for hearing on 22.02.2022 at 11.30 before the Bench in Commission's office at Chandigarh.** Copies of the order be sent to the concerned parties.

**Chandigarh
29.11.2021**

**Sd/-
(Lt Gen Ajae Kumar Sharma,(Retd))
State Information Commissioner, Punjab**

**PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION
RED CROSS BUILDING, NEAR ROSE GARDEN,
SECTOR 16, CHANDIGARH.**

Ph: 0172-2864116, Email: - psic26@punjabmail.gov.in

Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com, Cisco Webex Code:15857-23975

Helpline No.0172-2864100(From 10.00 AM to 04.00 PM on working days)



Shri Narsh K. Gupta,
Activist, Whistle Blower,
R/o Chowk No.1, Jaitu,
District Faridkot.

.....Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer
O/o Sr. Superintendent of Police,
Faridkot.

First Appellate Authority
O/o Sr. Superintendent of Police,
Faridkot.

....Respondents

AC No.213 of 2021

Present: (i) **Shri Naresh K.Gupta, appellant.**
(ii) **Shri Pawandeep Singh, Sr. Constable, RTI Assistant O/o SSP Faridkot.**

ORDER

1. This case was earlier being heard by the Bench of Ld. State Information Commissioner, Shri Khushwant Singh and the same has been transferred to this Bench vide order dated 09.09.2021.
2. Notice of hearing was issued to the parties in Commission's office at Chandigarh for 29.11.2021. Accordingly, the case has been heard today in the Commission. Shri Naresh K. Gupta, appellant comes present to attend the hearing in person before the Bench.
3. Shri Pawandeep Singh, Sr. Constable /Assistant RTI O/o SSP Faridkot also attends the hearing in person before the Bench on behalf of the PIO. On the asking of the Bench, he states that although he has no authority letter representing the PIO but he has brought along the relevant record. He then refers to the letter dated 29.10.2021 sent to the Bench stating therein that the information has been supplied to the appellant vide letter dated 12.02.2021, 19.05.2021 and again on 19.07.2021 by registered post.
4. On the asking of the Bench, the appellant Shri Naresh K. Gupta, states that the information has not been supplied to his satisfaction. It has been stated that his complaint is under investigation and has been sent to DD Legal for his opinion. He also contends that the information has been supplied after a delay of five months and that he deserves award of compensation and the PIO must be imposed penalty for non-supply of information as per time-line given in the RTI Act. He also points out that the information supplied has not been attested properly by the PIO and does not contain his name & designation, it has been only initialled which is not in consonance with the provisions under RTI Act.

Cont..P-2



AC No.213 of 2021

5. On perusal of the case file, the Bench observes that the PIO has provided information point-wise to the appellant. The Bench also observes that the PIO is not satisfied with the information supplied to him. The Bench also notes that the appellant is insisting on the award of compensation and imposition of penalty on the PIO.

6. The Bench, post deliberations, directs the PIO to call the appellant to his office on a mutually agreed date and time and allow him access to the relevant official records. The appellant, on inspection of records, will identify the information and the same will be provided to the appellant before the next hearing. It is also made clear that the information supplied should be duly attested by the PIO and it should contain full name and designation of the PIO.

7. After supplying information to the appellant, the PIO is directed to file an affidavit on non-judicial stamp paper duly signed by the PIO and attested by the Notary Public to the effect that the information as available in the official records in AC No.213 of 2021 has been supplied to the appellant. It be further stated that nothing has been concealed therein and the statement made is true and correct. Original affidavit be sent to the appellant and photocopy of the same be sent to the Commission for record.

8. With the aforesaid directions, the matter is adjourned. **To come up for hearing on 22.02.2022 at 11.30 AM before the Bench in Commission's Office at Chandigarh.** Copies of the order be sent to the concerned parties.

Chandigarh
29.11.2021

Sd/-
(Lt Gen Ajae Kumar Sharma (Retd))
State Information Commissioner, Punjab

**PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION
RED CROSS BUILDING, NEAR ROSE GARDEN,
SECTOR 16, CHANDIGARH.**

Ph: 0172-2864116, Email: - psic26@punjabmail.gov.in

Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com, Cisco Webex Code:15857-23975

Helpline No.0172-2864100(From 10.00 AM to 04.00 PM on working days)



Shri Narsh K. Gupta,
Activist, Whistle Blower,
R/o Chowk No.1, Jaitu,
District Faridkot.

.....Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer
O/o Sr. Superintendent of Police,
Faridkot.

First Appellate Authority
O/o Sr. Superintendent of Police,
Faridkot.

....Respondents

AC No.214 of 2021

Present: (i) **Shri Naresh K.Gupta, appellant.**
(ii) **Shri Pawandeep Singh, Sr. Constable, RTI Assistant O/o SSP Faridkot.**

ORDER

1. This case was earlier being heard by the Bench of Ld. State Information Commissioner, Shri Khushwant Singh and the same has been transferred to this Bench vide order dated 09.09.2021.
2. Notice of hearing was issued to the parties in Commission's office at Chandigarh for 29.11.2021. Accordingly, the case has been heard today in the Commission. Shri Naresh K. Gupta, appellant comes present to attend the hearing in person before the Bench.
3. Shri Pawandeep Singh, Sr. Constable /Assistant RTI O/o SSP Faridkot also attends the hearing in person before the Bench on behalf of the PIO. On the asking of the Bench, he states that although he has no authority letter representing the PIO but he has brought along the relevant record. He then refers to the letter dated 29.10.2021 sent to the Bench stating therein that the information has been supplied to the appellant vide letter dated 12.02.2021, 19.05.2021 and again on 19.07.2021 by registered post.
4. On the asking of the Bench, the appellant Shri Naresh K. Gupta, states that the information has not been supplied to his satisfaction. It has been stated that his complaint is under investigation and has been sent to DD Legal for his opinion. He also contends that the information has been supplied after a delay of five months and that he deserves award of compensation and the PIO must be imposed penalty for non-supply of information as per time-line given in the RTI Act. He also points out that the information supplied has not been attested properly by the PIO and does not contain his name & designation, it has been only initialled which is not in consonance with the provisions under RTI Act.

Cont..P-2



AC No.214 of 2021

5. On perusal of the case file, the Bench observes that the PIO has provided information point-wise to the appellant. The Bench also observes that the PIO is not satisfied with the information supplied to him. The Bench also notes that the appellant is insisting on the award of compensation and imposition of penalty on the PIO.

6. The Bench, post deliberations, directs the PIO to call the appellant to his office on a mutually agreed date and time and allow him access to the relevant official records. The appellant, on inspection of records, will identify the information and the same will be provided to the appellant before the next hearing. It is also made clear that the information supplied should be duly attested by the PIO and it should contain full name and designation of the PIO.

7. After supplying information to the appellant, the PIO is directed to file an affidavit on non-judicial stamp paper duly signed by the PIO and attested by the Notary Public to the effect that the information as available in the official records in AC No.214 of 2021 has been supplied to the appellant. It be further stated that nothing has been concealed therein and the statement made is true and correct. Original affidavit be sent to the appellant and photocopy of the same be sent to the Commission for record.

8. With the aforesaid directions, the matter is adjourned. **To come up for hearing on 22.02.2022 at 11.30 AM before the Bench in Commission's Office at Chandigarh.** Copies of the order be sent to the concerned parties.

**Chandigarh
29.11.2021**

**Sd/-
(Lt Gen Ajae Kumar Sharma (Retd))
State Information Commissioner, Punjab**

**PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION
RED CROSS BUILDING, NEAR ROSE GARDEN,
SECTOR 16, CHANDIGARH.**

Ph: 0172-2864116, Email: - psic26@punjabmail.gov.in

Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com, Cisco Webex Code:15857-23975

Helpline No.0172-2864100(From 10.00 AM to 04.00 PM on working days)



Shri Narsh K. Gupta,
Activist, Whistle Blower,
R/o Chowk No.1, Jaitu,
District Faridkot.

.....Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer
O/o Sr. Superintendent of Police,
Faridkot.

First Appellate Authority
O/o Sr. Superintendent of Police,
Faridkot.

....Respondents

AC No.215 of 2021

Present: (i) **Shri Naresh K.Gupta, appellant.**
(ii) **Shri Pawandeep Singh, Sr. Constable, RTI Assistant O/o SSP Faridkot.**

ORDER

1. This case was earlier being heard by the Bench of Ld. State Information Commissioner, Shri Khushwant Singh and the same has been transferred to this Bench vide order dated 09.09.2021.
2. Notice of hearing was issued to the parties in Commission's office at Chandigarh for 29.11.2021. Accordingly, the case has been heard today in the Commission. Shri Naresh K. Gupta, appellant comes present to attend the hearing in person before the Bench.
3. Shri Pawandeep Singh, Sr. Constable /Assistant RTI O/o SSP Faridkot also attends the hearing in person before the Bench on behalf of the PIO. On the asking of the Bench, he states that although he has no authority letter representing the PIO but he has brought along the relevant record. He then refers to the letter dated 29.10.2021 sent to the Bench stating therein that the information has been supplied to the appellant vide letter dated 12.02.2021, 19.05.2021 and again on 19.07.2021 by registered post.
4. On the asking of the Bench, the appellant Shri Naresh K. Gupta, states that the information has not been supplied to his satisfaction. It has been stated that his complaint is under investigation and has been sent to DD Legal for his opinion. He also contends that the information has been supplied after a delay of five months and that he deserves award of compensation and the PIO must be imposed penalty for non-supply of information as per time-line given in the RTI Act. He also points out that the information supplied has not been attested properly by the PIO and does not contain his name & designation, it has been only initialled which is not in consonance with the provisions under RTI Act.

Cont..P-2



AC No.215 of 2021

5. On perusal of the case file, the Bench observes that the PIO has provided information point-wise to the appellant. The Bench also observes that the PIO is not satisfied with the information supplied to him. The Bench also notes that the appellant is insisting on the award of compensation and imposition of penalty on the PIO.

6. The Bench, post deliberations, directs the PIO to call the appellant to his office on a mutually agreed date and time and allow him access to the relevant official records. The appellant, on inspection of records, will identify the information and the same will be provided to the appellant before the next hearing. It is also made clear that the information supplied should be duly attested by the PIO and it should contain full name and designation of the PIO.

7. After supplying information to the appellant, the PIO is directed to file an affidavit on non-judicial stamp paper duly signed by the PIO and attested by the Notary Public to the effect that the information as available in the official records in AC No.215 of 2021 has been supplied to the appellant. It be further stated that nothing has been concealed therein and the statement made is true and correct. Original affidavit be sent to the appellant and photocopy of the same be sent to the Commission for record.

8. With the aforesaid directions, the matter is adjourned. **To come up for hearing on 22.02.2022 at 11.30 AM before the Bench in Commission's Office at Chandigarh.** Copies of the order be sent to the concerned parties.

Chandigarh
29.11.2021

Sd/-
(Lt Gen Ajae Kumar Sharma (Retd))
State Information Commissioner, Punjab

**PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION
RED CROSS BUILDING, NEAR ROSE GARDEN,
SECTOR 16, CHANDIGARH.**

Ph: 0172-2864116, Email: - psic26@punjabmail.gov.in

Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com, Cisco Webex Code:15857-23975

Helpline No.0172-2864100(From 10.00 AM to 04.00 PM on working days)



Shri Narsh K. Gupta,
Activist, Whistle Blower,
R/o Chowk No.1, Jaitu,
District Faridkot.

.....Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer
O/o Sr. Superintendent of Police,
Faridkot.

First Appellate Authority
O/o Sr. Superintendent of Police,
Faridkot.

....Respondents

AC No.3270 of 2020

Present: (i) **Shri Naresh K.Gupta, appellant.**
(ii) **Shri Pawandeep Singh, Sr. Constable, RTI Assistant O/o SSP Faridkot.**

ORDER

1. This case was earlier being heard by the Bench of Ld. State Information Commissioner, Shri Khushwant Singh and the same has been transferred to this Bench vide order dated 09.09.2021.
2. Notice of hearing was issued to the parties in Commission's office at Chandigarh for 29.11.2021. Accordingly, the case has been heard today in the Commission. Shri Naresh K. Gupta, appellant comes present to attend the hearing in person before the Bench.
3. Shri Pawandeep Singh, Sr. Constable /Assistant RTI O/o SSP Faridkot also attends the hearing in person before the Bench on behalf of the PIO. On the asking of the Bench, he states that although he has no authority letter representing the PIO but he has brought along the relevant record. He then refers to the letter dated 29.10.2021 sent to the Bench stating therein that the information has been supplied to the appellant vide letter dated 28.08.2020 and again on 26.02.2021 by registered post.
4. On the asking of the Bench, the appellant Shri Naresh K. Gupta, states that the information has not been supplied to his satisfaction. It has been stated that his complaint is under investigation and has been sent to DD Legal for his opinion. He also contends that the information has been supplied after a delay of five months and that he deserves award of compensation and the PIO must be imposed penalty for non-supply of information as per time-line given in the RTI Act. He also points out that the information supplied has not been attested properly by the PIO and does not contain his name & designation, it has been only initialled which is not in consonance with the provisions under RTI Act.



AC No.3270 of 2021

5. On perusal of the case file, the Bench observes that the PIO has provided information point-wise to the appellant. The Bench also observes that the PIO is not satisfied with the information supplied to him. The Bench also notes that the appellant is insisting on the award of compensation and imposition of penalty on the PIO.

6. The Bench, post deliberations, directs the PIO to call the appellant to his office on a mutually agreed date and time and allow him access to the relevant official records. The appellant, on inspection of records, will identify the information and the same will be provided to the appellant before the next hearing. It is also made clear that the information supplied should be duly attested by the PIO and it should contain full name and designation of the PIO.

7. After supplying information to the appellant, the PIO is directed to file an affidavit on non-judicial stamp paper duly signed by the PIO and attested by the Notary Public to the effect that the information as available in the official records in AC No.3270 of 2021 has been supplied to the appellant. It be further stated that nothing has been concealed therein and the statement made is true and correct. Original affidavit be sent to the appellant and photocopy of the same be sent to the Commission for record.

8. With the aforesaid directions, the matter is adjourned. **To come up for hearing on 22.02.2022 at 11.30 AM before the Bench in Commission's Office at Chandigarh.** Copies of the order be sent to the concerned parties.

Chandigarh
29.11.2021

Sd/-
(Lt Gen Ajae Kumar Sharma (Retd))
State Information Commissioner, Punjab